Agenda: Evans—Embedded autonomy September 12, 2005

[Note: for some questions no names appear - these are either questions posed by Jamie & Erik, or implicit in many interrogations]

- 1. On the meaning an adequacy of embedded autonomy
 - a. What is meant here by embeddedness? (Peter)
 - b. What problems do different kinds of embeddedness solve?
 - c. In Evans' analysis, *how much* do institutions and culture matter, and how do they matter? (**Joe**)
 - d. How if at all does Evans *explain* variations in "embeddedness"? To what extent can embeddedness be deliberately generated by state actors?
- 2. What are the grounds for Evans' typology of states?
 - a. Relative to what "external" or "other" state forms does Evans define his typology? (Jason)
 - b. What is the theoretical status of the "intermediary" types? Does this suggest a two-dimensional continuous space of variation?
 - c. What is the relationship between Evans typology and concepts of the "capitalist state"?
 - d. Does the derivation of ideal types from suggestive cases distort the analysis; does it lead to circular arguments? (Joe, Brent)
 - e. What are the implications of Evans' strategy for case study selection? (**Dan**, Jason)
- 3. What are the implications of Evans' analytic focus on elites, inside and outside the state? (Sarah, Shawn, Peter)
 - a. What capacities are assigned to creative technocrats? Are these warranted? (Jae-Youl)
 - b. What role should there be for transnational state structures? Is the field of analytical vision too narrow? (**Kevin**)
 - c. And what about transnational networks of state elites? (Oriol)
- 4. Is this a nation-state-centric analysis? What are the analytical and political implications? (Amy, Brent, Adam)
- 5. Is this an economically functionalist analysis? (Adam, Joe)
- 6. What status does neo-utilitarianism have in the account? Is the death of neoliberalism exaggerated here? (Oriol) Or should we see Evans' as a useful antidote to analyses that emphasize creeping neoliberalization? (Dan, Jae-Youl)