

# Difference in Differences and Event Study

Long Hong

Nov. 12, 2021

# DiD summary

## Standard setting

- ▶ At time  $t = 0$ , an exogenous “policy” happens → “before and after”
- ▶ The policy affects certain groups but not the other groups → “treatment and control”

## Example: Merit aid programs

- ▶ A merit aid programs in Georgia, Helping Outstanding Pupils Education, started in 1993
- ▶ Georgia is affected (treatment)
- ▶ 41 other states *never* had any merit aid program (control)

# DiD in practice

## Assumptions:

1. Pre-policy parallel trend
  - ▶ In the absence of the policy change, control and treatment would follow the same time trend
2. No other policies going on at the same time affecting the outcome
3. Exogeneity: people weren't able to manipulate the timing or effect of the policy
4. No selection
  - ▶ People switch from the treatment to the control group or vice versa in response to policy
5. No anticipation effect
  - ▶ People might change outcome in response to (future) policy before policy is implemented

**Can test:** pre-policy parallel trend is satisfied (science part)

**Cannot test:** the rest of the assumption

- ▶ Use your background knowledge from the institutional setting (art part)

## Event-study specification

- ▶ Repeated cross-sectional data (Chris's notation *modified*)

$$y_i = \sum_{k \in \{-3, -4, \dots, 7\}} \beta_k T_s \mathbb{I}\{t = k\} + \delta_s + \mu_t + \epsilon_i$$

- ▶  $T_s = 1$  if the state is treated (i.e., Georgia)
- ▶ **Event-time indicator:**  $\mathbb{I}\{t = k\}$  is a dummy: year  $t = k$ -th year relative to **start year**

$$\text{e.g., } \mathbb{I}\{t = -1\} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if the year is 1992 (1 year before program)} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ Note:  $T_s \mathbb{I}\{t = k\}$  is equivalent to Chris's  $T_{g(i)t(i)}$  notation
- ▶ For panel data notation, simply change  $y_i$  to  $y_{it}$  and  $\epsilon_i$  to  $\epsilon_{it}$

## Event study: One tricky point

**Collinearity issue:**  $\sum_{k \in \{-3, -4, \dots, 7\}} \mathbb{I}\{t = k\} = \mathbb{I} \Rightarrow$  10 years with 10 dummies

- ▶ The same reason for any dummy variables:
- ▶ If you generate an indicator/dummy for race
  - ▶ generate white = (race == "white")
  - ▶ generate black = (race == "black")
  - ▶ generate other = (race == "other")
- ▶ you can really put two in the regression due to collinearity.

### Solution:

- ▶ Throw away one variable in the regression
- ▶ The literature typically normalize the year before the policy, i.e.,  $\beta_{-1} = 0$

$$y_i = \sum_{k \neq -1} \beta_k T_s \mathbb{I}\{t = k\} + \delta_s + \mu_t + \epsilon_i \quad (\text{correct equation})$$

## Event study: extension

**Example** extended:

- ▶ There are 9 states also have a similar merit aid programs
- ▶ Treatment: 9 states + Georgia
- ▶ Control: rest of the 41 states that never had any merit aid program

Tricky, but the same logic follows

- ▶ Starting year is different for each treated state

Let's see how to do it in Stata

- ▶ Specifically, the effect of the merit aid programs on college attendance